

DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney
District of Nevada

BLAINE T. WELSH
Nevada Bar No. 4790
TROY K. FLAKE
Assistant United States Attorneys
333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: 702-388-6336
Facsimile: 702-388-6787
Email: *blaine.welsh@usdoj.gov*
troy.flake@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARIANNE JACKSON, individually, and as)	
Administratrix of the ESTATE OF BRYAN D.)	Case No. 2:14-cv-00392-APG-GWF
JACKSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES
Filed in Compliance with LR 26-4
(Fifth Request)

Pursuant to LR 26-4 and for the reasons provided below, the parties, through their respective counsel, request the Court extend the discovery deadlines for 180 days. This is the fifth request for an extension of discovery deadlines.

1 **1. Status Report:** The parties conducted a telephonic Rule 26(f) discovery conference on
2 July 15, 2014, and filed a stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order (ECF No. 12) on July 21, 2014.
3 The Court entered a Scheduling Order (ECF No. 13) on July 22, 2014. On November 21, 2014, the
4 parties filed the first Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 22), and the Court entered a
5 Scheduling Order (ECF No. 23) on November 24, 2014. On January 21, 2015, the parties filed the
6 second Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 24), and the Court entered the current
7 Scheduling Order (ECF No. 25) on January 22, 2015. On May 26, 2015, the parties filed the third
8 Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 26), and the Court entered the current Scheduling
9 Order (ECF No. 27) on May 29, 2015. The parties' fourth Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines
10 (ECF No. 28) was filed on June 12, 2015, and the Court entered the current Scheduling Order (ECF No.
11 29) on June 15, 2015. Pursuant to LR 26-4, the deadline to request an extension of discovery deadlines
12 is August 24, 2015.

13 This is an FTCA action alleging wrongful death caused by the negligence of a federally operated
14 pharmacy. The parties have exchanged initial and supplemental disclosures as well as discovery
15 requests. Plaintiff's supplemental disclosures, received on August 5, 2015, identified two previously
16 undisclosed expert witness health care providers who treated Plaintiff for an injury Plaintiff alleges was
17 caused by the United States' negligence. Plaintiff has not yet provided supporting medical
18 documentation. The United States must obtain and review medical records relating to Plaintiff's claim
19 and determine the necessity of expert witnesses to address new medical issues raised by Plaintiff.

20 The parties request a 180-day extension of the remaining discovery deadlines to allow the parties
21 to secure records and experts. The parties do not anticipate further requests for extension of the
22 discovery deadlines.

23 . . .

24 . . .

25 . . .

26 . . .

For these reasons, the parties propose the following discovery deadlines:

2. Discovery cutoff date: May 8, 2016.¹

3. Interim Status Report: March 12, 2016.

4. Expert Disclosures: March 12, 2016.

5. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: April 10, 2016.

6. Dispositive Motions: June 11, 2016.

7. Pretrial Order: A Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed by **July 9, 2016**. However, if any dispositive motions are filed, then the Joint Pretrial Order shall be due thirty (30) days after decision on such motion(s). Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto shall be included in the Joint Pretrial Order.

8. Electronic Discovery: The parties do not envision that any significant relevant information is stored in an electronic format. Accordingly, the parties agree to produce any electronically stored information in paper and/or .pdf format. If the parties learn that electronically stored information is available and would impact this case, the parties will meet and confer about the production of that information in an acceptable format.

9. Inadvertent Disclosure: Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) and (e), the parties both agree and request that entry of this order establish that inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific information disclosed or as to any other related information for purposes of this or any other litigation. If a producing party inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure so advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be returned, stricken or otherwise appropriately handled to reverse the inadvertent disclosure. The receiving

¹ When the period of time is stated in days or a longer unit of time ... include the last day of the period, but if the last day is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the period continues to run until the end of the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(C).

1 party shall return all copies of the inadvertently produced material within five (5) business days of
2 receipt of the request and otherwise cooperate to fulfill this agreement. Any notes or summaries
3 referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed.

4 Respectfully submitted this 18th day of August, 2015.

5 STOVALL & ASSOCIATES

DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney

6 /s/ Ross Moynihan
7 ROSS MOYNIHAN, Esq.
8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

/s/ Troy K. Flake
BLAINE T. WELSH
TROY K. FLAKE
Assistant United States Attorneys
Attorneys for the United States

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

17 
18 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

19 **DATED:** September 1, 2015
20
21
22
23
24
25
26